## Correlation of the Energies of $\pi$ -Bonds between Carbon and Other Elements with E.S.R. Hyperfine Coupling Constants

## **Christopher J. Rhodes**

School of Chemistry, Thames Polytechnic, Wellington St., Woolwich, London SE18 6PF, U.K.

A linear correlation is found between C=A  $\pi$ -bond energies in compounds of the type H<sub>2</sub>C=AR<sub>n</sub> (or HC=AR<sub>n-1</sub>) and  $\beta$ -proton hyperfine coupling constants for the corresponding radicals H<sub>3</sub>C-ÅR<sub>n</sub> (or H<sub>2</sub>C=ÅR<sub>n-1</sub>): an empirical method is therefore available for either estimating  $\pi$ -bond energies from e.s.r. data or predicting hyperfine coupling constants for the correspondence of the estimation of the estimation  $\pi$ -bond energies from e.s.r. data or predicting hyperfine coupling constants for the estimation  $\pi$ -bond energies from e.s.r. data or predicting hyperfine coupling constants for the estimation  $\pi$ -bond energies from e.s.r. data or predicting hyperfine coupling constants from bond energy data.

We have found that a linear correlation (r = 0.96) exists between C=A  $\pi$ -bond energies (estimated as the difference E(C=A) - E(C-A); A is an element) and the  $\beta$ -proton hyperfine coupling constants in the corresponding radicals H<sub>3</sub>C-AR<sub>n</sub> (or H<sub>2</sub>C=AR<sub>n-1</sub>). [We have taken the  $\beta$ -proton couplings of methyl groups as the maximum, on the basis of a  $B\cos^2\theta$  dependence (*i.e.* as the value of B, since  $\theta = 0$  for maximum coupling), in order to relate them directly to couplings in radicals of the type H<sub>2</sub>C=AR<sub>n-1</sub>, where the geometry forces  $\theta = 0$ .] The data used in the correlation are collated in Table 1.

Our qualitative interpretation of this is that as the formation of a  $\pi$ -bond requires overlap between a singly occupied C(2p) orbital and a singly occupied A(*n*p) orbital, so part of the hyperfine coupling mechanism for  $\beta$ -protons involves hyperconjugation, which, in the cases considered here, requires overlap between a doubly occupied carbon-based group orbital of  $\pi$ -symmetry and a single occupied A(np) orbital: a relationship between these processes therefore appears reasonable. We attribute the intercept on the plot to the fact that our simple difference method does not separate the strengthening of the C-A  $\sigma$ -bond due to the change in hybridisation between C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-C(sp<sup>3</sup>) and C(sp<sup>2</sup>)=C(sp<sup>2</sup>), which will therefore be included in the derived  $\pi$ -bond energies.

However, our main point is that this correlation may be used in a purely empirical way for predictive or interpretive purposes, by employing either equation (1) or (2), as illustrated by the following examples which relate to some areas of current interest.



**Figure 1.** Plot of C=A  $\pi$ -bond energies for compounds  $H_2C=AR_n$  (or  $HC=AR_{n-1}$ ) against  $\beta$ -proton hyperfine couplings in the corresponding radicals  $H_3C-\dot{A}R_n$  (or  $H_2C=\dot{A}R_{n-1}$ ) ( $\theta = 0$ ).

Table	1.	π-Bond	energies	for	C=A	multiple	bonds	and	coupling
consta	nts	$a(\beta-H)$ f	or H <sub>3</sub> C-À	R <sub>n</sub> /	$H_2C=$	$AR_{n-1}$			

			$E(C=A) \sim E(C-A)$			
No	o. Radical	$a(\beta-H)_{\theta = 0}/G^{a}$	kcal mol <sup>-1</sup>	ь		
1	RCH <sub>2</sub> S <sup>•</sup>	12.8°	C=S	68		
2	$CH_3$ - $\dot{Si}(SiMe_3)_2$	18.72 <sup>d</sup>	C=Si	39e		
3	H <sub>2</sub> C=CH	51 <sup>f</sup> ,g	C=C	53		
4	CH <sub>3</sub> -ĊH <sub>2</sub>	53.8 <sup>f</sup>	C=C	62		
5	CH <sub>3</sub> -NH	64 <sup>h</sup>	C=N	70		
6	H <sub>2</sub> C=N	87 <sup>i</sup>	C=N	69		
7	CH <sub>3</sub> -O'	104j	C=O	87		
8	RHC=O+·	136 <sup>k</sup>	C=O	92		

<sup>a</sup> 1 G = 10<sup>-4</sup> T. <sup>b</sup> Taken from the sources in ref. 4, unless otherwise indicated. <sup>c</sup> Ref. 5. <sup>d</sup> Ref. 2. <sup>e</sup> Ref. 1. <sup>f</sup> J. K. Kochi, Adv. Free Radical Chem., 1975, **5**, 189. <sup>g</sup> Average of cis and trans couplings. <sup>b</sup> S. G. Hadley and D. H. Volman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, **89**, 1053. <sup>i</sup> I. S. Ginns and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1972, 185. <sup>j</sup> M. Iwasaki and K. Toriyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, **100**, 1964. <sup>k</sup> L. D. Snow and F. Williams, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1983, **100**, 198.

$$E(C=A)/kcal = 33.95 + 0.456 a(\beta-H)_{\theta} = 0/G$$
(1)

$$a(\beta-H)_{\theta=0}/G = 2.19 E(C=A)/kcal - 74.45$$
 (2)

(a) The estimate of the C=Si  $\pi$ -bond energy obtained by Walsh<sup>1</sup> fits quite well with the rest of our data, when plotted against the *B* value derived from  $a(\beta$ -H) for the radical MeSi(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>2</sup> which is believed to be nearly planar. Previous estimates<sup>1</sup> of  $E_{\pi}$ (C=Si) appear to be too low on this basis. A value of 42 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is predicted by equation (1).

(b) From the  $a(\beta$ -H) value of 13.9 G for the Me<sub>2</sub>P radical,<sup>3</sup> we estimate that the  $\pi$ -bond energy of a C=P bond should be 47 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.

(c) From our estimate of the  $\pi$ -bond energy of a C=S bond (68 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>4</sup> and using equation (2), we would predict that the  $\beta$ -proton coupling in the CH<sub>3</sub>-S<sup>•</sup> radical should be 37 G. Unfortunately, this radical does not appear to have been studied by e.s.r.; however, a *B* value of 12.8 G has been estimated from a single crystal study<sup>5</sup> of a radical RCH<sub>2</sub>-S<sup>•</sup>. This is plotted on Figure 1 (point 1) and is seen to deviate appreciably from the straight line, indicating that the value is far too low.

In fact, our prediction of the  $\beta$ -proton coupling of the CH<sub>3</sub>-S<sup>•</sup> radical is in accord with our recent assignment for R<sub>2</sub>C(Mu)-S<sup>•</sup> radicals, studied by the muon spin rotation technique, which exhibit large muon hyperfine couplings in the range 40-50 G.<sup>6</sup> (Temperature dependence studies indicate a weighting of conformations in which the muon is more strongly coupled than in a freely rotating case such as CH<sub>3</sub>-S<sup>•</sup>). A previous e.s.r. assignment<sup>7</sup> for RCH<sub>2</sub>-S<sup>•</sup> in the liquid phase, with  $a(\beta$ -H) ca. 9 G, also appears to be in error.

Received, 20th January 1988; Com. 8/00208H

## References

- 1 R. Walsh, Acc. Chem. Res., 1981, 14, 246, and references therein.
- 2 J. Cooper, A. Hudson, and R. A. Jackson, *Mol. Phys.*, 1972, 23, 209.
- 3 B. P. Roberts and K. Singh, J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 159, 31.
- 4 Bond energy data from J. March, 'Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms and Structure,' 1968, McGraw-Hill, New York; and J. E. Huheey, 'Inorganic Chemistry: Principles of Structure and Reactivity,' 2nd edn., Harper and Row, New York, 1978.
- 5 Y. Kurita, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1967, 40, 94.
- 6 C. J. Rhodes, M. C. R. Symons, and E. Roduner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 3.
- 7 W. Wolf, J. C. Kertesz, and W. C. Landgraf, J. Magn. Reson., 1969, 1, 618.